# **An Insight into the Novel Ring Transformation Reactions Using Ethylene Glycol/BF3**

Takayoshi Yamamoto, Hiroshi Suemune, and Kiyoshi Sakai\*

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812, Japan

(Received in Japan 1 August 1991)

Key Words rung transformation, aldol condensation, acetahization, Grob fragmentation, boron trifluoride

*Abstract The rtng transJormatton of cycltc ketones wtth a carbonyl functton at the stde chatn under acetaltzatton*  conditions (BF<sub>3</sub>/ethylene glycol) was studied The results suggested that this reaction proceeds via acetal pathway as a *major course and hemiacetal pathway as a minor course cis-Cyclohexane-1,2-diol was found to be more effective than ethylene glycol for thas ring transformation* 

Rmg transformation reaction seems to be one of the Important strateges m synthetic chemistry, because It enables otherwise synthetically difficult compounds to be accessible by ring transformation from other, readily prepared ring systems Therefore, this indirect synthetic methodology is as useful as the direct synthesis of a target compound and provides a new synthetic route

Recently, a novel ring transformation reaction based on aldol condensation followed by acetalization and subsequent Grob fragmentation<sup>1</sup> has been developed by our group  $2-9$  This reaction takes place when the cyclic ketones with a carbonyl function at the side chain are subjected to acetalization using BFq/ethylene glycol in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at room temperature This facile ring transformation can be classified into two types One is the mtramolecular nng transformanon (Scheme l), and the other 1s the mtermolecular nng transformation (Scheme 2) Our expenmental results are summarized m Schemes 1 and 2 Intramolecular types m Scheme 1 can be further divided into several types, depending on the relative position of the two carbonyl functions Intermolecular types also were developed mto the nng transformation based on a crossed mtermolecular aldol condensation These reactions were successfully applied for the synthesis of natural products such as bulnesol,  $\frac{3}{3}$  acorenone B,  $\frac{6}{3}$  and tnchodlene 8

In this paper, we wish to descnbe an insight mto this nng transformation It 1s well known that the reaction conditions usmg BF3/ethylene glycol m the presence of carbonyl function afford acetals, slmllarly to the reaction conditions using p-toluenesulfonic acid/ethylene glycol This ring transformation using the same reaction conditions presumably involves the presumed three steps, that is to say, aldol condensation, acetahization, and Grob fragmentation However, whether an acetal is formed as a reaction intermediate is not clear To clarify this aspect, mono-ols, 13-dlols, and cychc-1,2-dlols were exammed Instead of ethylene glycol



Scheme 1 Intramolecular ring transformation

Scheme 2 Intermolecular ring transformation



As shown in Table 1,<sup>10</sup> cyclic-1,2-diols such as  $cis$ - or trans-1,2-cyclohexanediol,<sup>11</sup> and  $cis$ - or trans-1,2-cyclopentanediol<sup>12</sup> were examined Among the tested cyclic diols, cis-1,2-cyclohexanediol afforded the better result than ethylene glycol cts-1,2-Cyclopentanediol and trans-1,2-cyclohexanediol also afforded the ring transformation products in good yield However, trans-1,2-cyclopentanediol, in which the acetal formation in the reaction pathway seems to be difficult, resulted in poor yield Therefore, this finding suggests that this ring transformation favors the acetal pathway, although it may partially proceed via the hemiacetal pathway (vide supra) In the ring transformation using trans-1,2-cyclopentanediol, the aldol condensation products followed by the dehydration reaction (mixture of conjugated and deconjugated enones) were mainly obtained This indicates



Table 1 Ring transformation using 1,2-diols/BF<sub>3</sub> etherate  $a$ )

a Reaction conditions, substrates (1 eq ), alcohols (5 eq ) and  $BF_3$ -ether (7 eq ) in  $CH_2Cl_2$ were stirred at room temperature Each product contains a small amount of positional Isomers of double bond such as C (see expenmental) b In entry 5, the followmg enones

(A,B) were obtamed



that the aldol reaction which should be the first step in ring transformation proceeds independently of the kind of diol In entries 2, 3, and 4, the configuration of the diols in ring transformation products was retained intact, because treatment of each product with K2CO3/MeOH afforded the corresponding methyl esters and the onginal diols However, in entry 5 using trans-1,2-cyclopentanediol, similar treatment of the products with K2CO3/MeOH afforded a mixture (3 1) of cis- and trans-1,2-cyclopentanediols, which could be separated by column chromatography on silica gel, in addition to the corresponding methyl ester The following experiment using a simple ester indicated that the inversion of trans-diol to cis-diol took place after completion of the ring transformation reaction (Scheme 3)

The monooctanoate of trans-1,2-cyclopentanediol was subjected to the same reaction conditions as ring transformation reactions, and subsequent treatment with K2CO3/MeOH afforded a mixture of cis- and transdiols This unexpected conversion of *trans*-diol to *cis*-diol is considered to pass through the dioxolemum cation, which is formed in the SNI reaction, as shown in Scheme 4 The formation of cis-diol in entry 5 also may be rationalized by the above finding



Scheme 3. Conversion of *trans-1,2-cyclopentanediol monooctanoate to cis-isomer by BF3 etherate* 

The reaction pathway of this ring transformation is proposed as shown in Scheme 5 Retention of the configuration of the diol in entries 2, 3, and 4 suggests that the dioxolenium cation formed as an intermediate undergoes the cleavage in a manner to retain the configuration of  $1,2$ -cyclohexanediol





1,3-D1ols also were examined In all cases, nng transformation reaction was observed, but the yields were not so good as those of 1,2-diols (Table 2) Also, a considerable amount of the enones was obtained In conclusion, 1,3-diols such as 1,3-propanediol, 1,3-butanediol, 2,4-pentanediol, and 2,2-dimethyl-1,3propanediol are less efficacious than 1,2-diols Monoalcohols such as MeOH, EtOH, cyclohexanol, and 2methoxyethanol, were also examined In all cases, an inseparable complex mixtures and the enones derived from aldols were obtained, but the ring transformation product was not obtained Only 2-methoxyethanol afforded the ring formation product in 13% yield This finding provides additional proof that the ring transformation proceeds 1n part *vta the* hemlacetal pathway, as described 1n rrans-1 ,Zcyclopentanedlol (Table 1, entry 5), m addmon to the mam acetal pathway



**Table 2.** Ring transformation using 1,3-diols/BF3 etherate a)

a Reactlon conditions, the same as the case of table 1

The reason why cis-1,2-cyclohexanediol affords good yield in this ring transformation may be attributed to the fixed dioxolane ring formed by the acetalization In the fixed dioxolane ring, as shown in Fig 1, a lone pair of oxygen in the dioxolane ring can take the desired position of antiperiplaner to the orbital of C-C bond to undergo the cleavage This favorable onentatlon causes the ring transformation reaction to afford a good yield The reaction seems to start with the formation of carbocatlon which should be formed by **Fig. 1**  BF3-catalyzed elimination of aldol In contrast, a lone pair of unfixed oxygen



1n mono-ols can not take the desired antiperiplaner orientation for ring transformation Although other Lewis acids such as T1C14, AICl3, and ZnC12 were also exammed, BFg-etherate was found to be the most effective for

# the novel nng transformation reaction under study

#### **Experlmental**

Infrared (IR) spectra were measured with a JASCO A-202 spectrometer  $1H$ - and  $13C$ -NMR spectra were measured on JEOL JNM-PS-100 and GX-270 spectrometers Mass spectra (MS) were taken on a JEOL JMS-D 300 spectrometer Each reaction was camed out under an Ar atmosphere and monitored by TLC (Merck, silica gel 60F-254 plates) For column chromatography, silica gel (Merck, Kieselgel 60, 70-230 mesh) was used All organic solvents were washed with brine, dried over MgSO4, and concentrated in vacuo Each product in Table 1 and 2 was obtained as a colorless oil, and positional isomer (2-13% depending on reaction times) of double bond was observed by <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectra

#### **General procedure**

To a stirred solution of substrate (see Table 1, Entry  $2(150 \text{ mg}, 0.89 \text{ mmol})$  in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 ml) were successively added BF3-etherate (1 6 ml, 7 eq ) and  $cis-1,2$ -cyclohexanediol (500 mg, 5 eq ) at  $0^{\circ}$ C under an Ar atmosphere After being stirred for 3 h at room temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with ether, washed with sat NaHCO3, and brine, then dried The solvent was removed in vacuo to leave an oily residue, which was punfied by slhca-gel column chromatography to afford the nng transformanon product (225 mg, 95%)

Selected spectroscopic data of each product in Table 1

Entry 1 IR (neat) 3450, 2970, 1740, 1660 cm<sup>-1</sup>, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  $\delta$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 5 22 (2H, m, -C=CH-), 4 19 (2H, m,  $COOCH_2$ -), 3 80 (2H, m, -CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 1 71 (3H, m, =C-CH3), 2 70-1 40 (8H, m), 0 91 (3H, d, J=6 5 Hz, CH<sub>3</sub>), MS m/z 212 (M<sup>+</sup>), 151, 108, 81, HRMS for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) Calcd m/z 212 1412, Found 212 1445

Entry 2 IR (neat) 3450, 2950, 1720, 1650 cm<sup>-1</sup>, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  $\delta$  (CDC13) 5 24 (1H, m, =CH-), 4 95 (1H, m, *CHOCO),* 3 86 (lH, m, -CHOH), 2 64-2 58 (2OH, m), 0 92 (3H, d, J=6 5 Hz,-CH3), MS m/z 266 (M+), 167, 108,81, HRMS for Cl6H2603 (M+) Calcd m/z 266 1882, Found 266 1871

Entry 3 IR (neat) 3450, 2950, 1720, 1660 cm<sup>-1</sup>, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  $\delta$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 5 25 (1H, m, =CH-), 4 95 (1H, m, CHOCO), 3.85 (lH, brs, -CyoH), 2 66-O 99 (2OH, m), 0 94 (3H, m,-CH3), MS m/z 266 (M+), 186, 167, 81, HRMS for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) Calcd m/z 266 1882, Found 266 1897

Entry 4. IR (neat) 3450, 2950, 1720, 1650 cm<sup>-1</sup>, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  $\delta$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 5 24 (1H, m, =CH-), 4,98 (1H, m, CHOCO), 4 15 (lH, m, -CHOH), 2 65-l 24 (18H, m), 0 92 (3H, d, J=6 5 Hz, -CH3), MS *m/z* 252 (M+), 234, 81, HRMS for C15H24O3 (M<sup>+</sup>) Calcd m/z 252 1725, Found 252 1756

Entry 5 IR (neat) 3450, 2950, 1730, 1660 cm<sup>-1</sup>, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  $\delta$  (CDCl3) 5 22 (1H, m, =CH-), 4 79 (1H, m, *CHOCO).* 4 06 (lH, brs, -CHOH), 2 63-148 (18H, m), 0 94-O 90 (3H, m, -CH3), MS *m/z* 252 (M+), 234, 151, 81, HRMS for C15H24O3(M+) Calcd m/z 252 1725, Found 252 1742

Selected spectroscopic data of each product m Table 2

Entry 1 IR (neat) 3400, 2900, 1720, 1640 cm<sup>-1</sup>, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  $\delta$  (CDCl3) 5 23 (1H, m, =CH-), 4 23 (2H, d,  $J=6$  1 Hz, COOCH<sub>2</sub>), 3 70 (2H, dt,  $J=5$  6, 5 6 Hz, C<u>H</u><sub>2</sub>OH), 1 71 (3H, m,  $=C_H$ -Me), 0 92 (3H, d,  $J=6$  6Hz,  $-CH_3$ ), 2 66-1 45 (9H, m), MS  $m/z$  226 (M<sup>+</sup>), 151, 108, 81, HRMS for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(M<sup>+</sup>) Calcd m/z 226 1569, Found 226 1593

Entry 2 IR (neat) 3450, 2950, 1730, 1660 cm<sup>-1</sup>, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  $\delta$  (CDCl3) 5 23 (1H, m, =CH-), 5 18-4 07 & 3 87-3 53 (3H, m, COOCH & CHOH), 1 72-1 69 (3H, m, =C-CH3), 1 29-1 21 (3H, m, OCH-CH3), 0 95-0 94 (3H, m, -CH3), 2 62-l 45 (1 lH, m), MS *m/z* 240 (M+), 151, 108,81, HRMS for Cl4H2403(M+) Calcd m/z 240 1725, Found 240 1737

Entry 3 IR (neat) 3450, 2950, 1730, 1660 cm<sup>-1</sup>, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  $\delta$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 5 23 (1H, m, =CH-), 5 17 (1H, m, COOCH), 3 72 (1H, m, CHOH), 2 84 (1H, m, -OH), 1 73-1 69 (3H, m, =C-CH3), 1 28-1 25 (3H, d, J=6 3 Hz, COOCH-CH<sub>3</sub>), 1 18 (3H, d, J=6 3 Hz, OCH-CH<sub>3</sub>), 0 93 (3H, d, J=6 6 Hz, -CH<sub>3</sub>), 2 64-1 43 (10H, m), MS  $m/z$  254 (M<sup>+</sup>), 236, 173, 108, 81, HRMS for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(M<sup>+</sup>) Calcd  $m/z$  254 1882, Found 254 1847 Entry 4 IR (neat) 3450, 2950, 1730, 1660 cm<sup>-1</sup>, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR  $\delta$  (CDCl3) 5 23 (1H, m =CH-), 3 93 (2H, s,  $COOCH<sub>2</sub>$ ), 3 30 (2H, d, J=6 6 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>OH), 1 72 (3H, s, =CH-CH<sub>3</sub>), 2 64-1 43 (9H, m), 0 95-0 88 (9H, m, other-Me), MS  $m/z$  254 (M<sup>+</sup>), 168, 108, 81, HRMS for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>3</sub>(M<sup>+</sup>) Calcd  $m/z$  254 1882, Found 254 1854

## trans-2-Capryloyloxycyclopentanol

IR (neat) 3450, 2950, 1730 cm<sup>-1</sup>, <sup>1</sup>H-NMR δ (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 4 73 (1H, m, CHCOR), 4 12 (1H, m, CHOH), 3 84  $(H, m, -OH)$ , 2 32 (2H, t, J=7 1 Hz, COOCH<sub>2</sub>R), 2 17-1 47 (8H, m), 1 29 (8H, s,  $(-(CH_2)4-Me)$ , 0 90 (3H, t, 5=7 2 Hz, -CH3), MS m/z 229 (M++l), 228, 127

## References

- 1 a) Grob, CA, Schiess, PW Angew Chem Int Ed 1967, 6, 1,
- b1 Grob. CA *Anpew Chem Int Ed* 1969. 8.535
- $\frac{2}{3}$ Suemune, H , Oda, K , Sakai, K , Tetrahedoron Lett 1987, 28, 3373
- Tanaka, M , Suemune, **H ,** Sakai, K *Tetrahedoron Lerr 1988.29, 1733*
- $\frac{4}{5}$ Miyao, Y ,Tanaka, M , Suemune, H , Sakai, K *J Chem Soc , Chem Commun 1989*, 1535<br>Nagumo, S , Suemune, H , Sakai, K *Tetrahedoron Lett* 1988, 29, 6927
- 
- :
- $\frac{7}{8}$ Nagumo, S, Suemune, H, Sakai, K *J Chem Soc, Chem Commun 1991*, 1778<br>Yamamoto, T, Eki, T, Nagumo, S, Suemune, H, Sakai, K *Tetrahedoron Lett 1991*, 32, 51
- Tanaka, M, Sakai, K *Tetrahedoron Lett* submitted for publication
- ; Nagumo, **S ,** Matsukuma, A, Inoue, F , Yamamoto, T , Suemune, **H ,** Sakn, K *J Chem* **Sot ,** *Chem Commun 1990, 1538*
- 10 For the preparation of substrate, see Suemune, H, Oda, K, Saeki, S, Sakai, K *Chem Pharm Bull* 1988, 36, 172
- 11 Both compounds are commercially available (Aldrich)
- 12 For cis-1,2-cyclopentanediol, see Van Rheenen, V, Kelly, R C, Cha, D Y *Tetrahedron Lett* 1976, 23 1973 For trans-1,2-cyclopentanedlol, see Pascul, **J ,** Castelhs, J *J Am Chem Sot 1953, 74, 2899*